App. F. IX-4

Reserve 6/3/46 1932 (SA)

File No. 57/32

Serial Nos. 1054

*Previous References*

9 15—18(1-2)

*Later References*

J.A. 245.35.(1-2)

S P. 12-45 (1-2)

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

*Serial Nos.* Jmr

**Part B for** October 1932

---

**SUBJECT.**

Distribution of the Pallayar pension of Rs 15-4-2 registered in the name of Kannusami Raja of Bayalur Pallayar family.

---

R(4) to Comptroller, R 2148 of 18. X 32

(Sanctions the proposal

---

Jmr
15/XI/32

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | To the S.H.T. Officer Coimbatore No. H 7515 of 8/4/32 Forwards an petition from Rani to a report |
| 2 | From the Collector No. 285 S.H. Tr. of 20/7/32 Forwards for orders the report of the State Treasury Officer, on the petition of Nanjammaddammani, Pollegar of Bagalur praying for a separate repository in her name of her share of the pension of her elder two sons. (Collector of the pension of Rs 63-8-0 enjoyed by B.J. Seshadri Naik stood in the name of Nanjammaddammani Naik) |
| Reg 'B' | The pension in question stood in the name of B.J. Seshadri Naik of Bagalur Pollegar family (Vide no H 6320-21/SHA 198. M-8 of 5/5/15 |
| | 2. Subsequently B.J. Seshadri Naik died leaving behind him his widow Nanjammaddammani three sons Kannasami Raj, Venkatakrishna Raj & Ramakrishna Raj all minors and two daughters (both married) |
| | 3 The pension of Rs 15-14-2 enjoyed by the deceased B.J. Seshadri Naik was continued in the name of his eldest son Kannasami Raja then a minor his mother Nanjammaddammani widow of the deceased being appointed guardian during his minority vide G.O. no H 2301 SHA 15-18-2 of 9th Nov 18 |
| Reg 'A' | 4 Nanjammaddammani in her petition of 12-2-32 reports that Kannasami Raj, in whose name the pension in question is hitherto drawn is leaving payment of her share of the pension and of the pension of her minor son and behaves in a manner unbecoming of him |

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

These allegations are however denied by the Counter petitioner Ramasami Raj in his petition dt 28/3/32.

The lady prays for a separate registry in her name of her share of pension together with the shares of her two sons who are agreeable to this arrangement.

When the Claimants want a separate registry ~~and among themselves~~ owing to dissensions among themselves, it is not unusual to comply with their request. In the case of Srija Pallabhi Ramappa Naik of the Sirikere family in whose name the registry of the pension stood stands that there was misunderstanding between himself and his maternal uncle Saraja Rangappa Naik & requested that the amount of pension may be equally divided between himself and his maternal uncle, and the Govt accordingly complied with his request in G.O. No 1772 13.6.1902. Flag 'C'

The case of Chikka Krishnappa Naik Polegar of Manjarabad is not dissimilar. There again owing to misunderstandings that existed among the members of his family, the family Pension of Rs 39.1.4 which stood in the name of Chikka Krishnappa Naik was distributed among the several members. (Vide G.O. No M 6182-3/87-1 201-06-4 dt 12/12/07

355

General and Revenue Secretariat — S/H File No. 57 of 1932 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

8) The S.H.T officer recommends that the family pension of Rs 15-11-2 now registered in the name of Ramaswami Raj under G.O. no Rev. H 2301/S.H.T 15-18 dt 4th Nov 18 may be split up into 4 shares in the following manner

1. Ramaswami Raj Rs 4-0-0.
2. B.J. Venkatakrishna Raj " 4-0-0.
3. B.J. Ramakrishna Raj " 4-0-0.
4. Ranjammodammani (mother) " 3-14-2

the shares of 2+3 & their guardian mother Ranjammodammani being registered in Ranjammodammani's name and the pension of Ramaswami Raj being registered and paid to him separately. This course if approved will avoid any chances of Claimants falling out with ~~each other~~ one another and complaining that their shares were not paid properly.

9) Action ~~is requested~~ for orders in the file if the distribution recommended by the S.H.T. officer may be approved

10) Please note the agent Mrs 2 & 3 of Tuticorin.

11) Mention is not made of the age of 2+3 either in the report of the S.H.T officer or in any of the petitions of the Claimants. Prof. B.J. Seshadri Naick, father of 2+3 viz. B.J. Venkata Krishna Raj & Ramakrishna Raj

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

is reported to have died on 9th June 1918, when nos 2 & 3 were minors aged 11 & 6 years respectively. If in accordance with the usage they must have now attained the age of majority and rightly as age may be [illegible] to practically 21.

If nos 2 & 3 have attained majority there is no necessity to register the proposed shares of their pensions in the name of their mother as guardian, and all the four shares proposed may be separately registered in the names of the 3 sons & the mother. In this view the S.D.T.O. may be asked to report the age of Venkatakrishnama Rajah & Ramakrishnama Rajah & in case they have attained majority, why separate registry of the pensions in their names is not proposed.

for secy)

Engrossing 11/8

Submit with a draft enquiring.

18.8

To the Comptroller, Instructions Re 1093 & 22/8/32

Enquires what the ages of Venkatakrishnama Rajah and Ramakrishnama Rajah are and in case they have attained majority why separate registry in their names is not proposed.

GENL. & REV. SEC. 18. AUG. 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bagalur Polegar family Pensions

[App. F. III—2

File No. 57 (1000) of 1932

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 4 | From the Comptroller Letter no. 1751/S.H.T.Dy. dt 21/9/32<br>Furnishes information regarding the ages of Messrs Venkata Krishna Raja and Ramakrishna Raja Sons of Nanjamma Doddamani, Polegar of Bagalur family<br>4) Reference Para 12 in the previous page.<br>17) The Comptroller states that the ages of Messrs Venkata-Krishna Raja and Ramakrishna Raja, ~~two~~ Sons of Nanjamma Doddamani are 22 and 17 years respectively. Apparently one of the two Sons has attained majority and the other is still a minor<br>18) Submit for orders whether the proposed distribution of the pension in question may be given effect to in the circumstances stated.<br>26/9<br>19) The separate registers of the pension recommended may be sanctioned in the cases stated.<br>27/9<br>Rs 11-14-2 in the name of Nanjundaswamy<br>Rs 4-0-0 in the name of Ramaswamy Raja<br>20) Put up draft G.O.<br>28/9 30/9<br>21) Submit draft G.O. for approval<br>30/9<br>22) Please return a fair draft as revised<br>9/10<br>23) Submit draft revised accordingly<br>10/10 10/10<br>24) [illegible] 12/10 13/10<br>25) [illegible] 12<br>26) [illegible] 13/10 13/10 |

OFFICE OF THE FIRST ME[illegible]OIL
13 OCT. 1932
2258.

TYPING SECTION
18. OCT. 1932
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

NOTE—Contn. Sheets

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

SOA File No. 57 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

To The Comptroller to Govt. Despatch No X 2148. 5
18
9/20 th Oct 1932

Sanctions the distribution of the pension as proposed by the Comptroller.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY'S OFFICE
47.68
21 APR. 1932
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

FOREIGN SECRETARY'S
20 APR. 1932

To

The Financial Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Khazana Bakshi Sahib

Sir,

May be kind to me compli for favour if useful

I am the widow of late Mr. Seshadri Raju of the Bagalur Pallegar Family who was getting a pension of Rs 15-14-2. He left behind him three sons, two daughters, besides myself is widow. As even my eldest son was a minor at the time of his death, the pension was registered in his name with me as guardian. After my eldest son Kannasami Raju attained majority, he was drawing family pension from the Treasury.

Since February 1932 his attitude is thoroughly changed towards his brothers and myself and when I had sent my last son for our share of the pension, Kannasami Raju who is living separate from me beat him and sent him out with a denial. A complaint was lodged in the Kengeri Gate Police Station, in this connection.

There is no feeling of love or affection between myself and my ~~person~~ first son. He is a person of very questionable character, mixing with all sorts of men and attempting at my life always inspite of the facts that I am his own natural mother. Instances are two many to be enumerated here and hence I refrained from doing so.

Last occasion, when on my application, the Khazana Bakshi Sahib was pleased to order a person at inquiry in the matter of paying my share of the pension

directly to me, my eldest son Kannasami Raju behaved in a most insolent manner before the Khazana Bakshi. When he advised him to go amicably with the other members of the family he was even rude to him for his kind words and threatned that he would report to the Government against him.

Under these circumstances, I humbly submit that it is practically impossible to receive my share of the pension from the hands of the present Sarawardar, and that a separate registry of the family pension be kindly ordered to be made in my name together with the shares of my two other sons who are with me now and who are also willing to this arrangement, having had sufficient trouble and annoyance from their eldest brother, the Sarawarar, every month.

Trusting that the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore ~~xx~~ will consider this matter and pass orders.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore,
20th April 1952.

ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ

We agree with the facts stated above and have no objection for our share of the family pension being registered in the name of our mother.

Bangalore,
20th April 1952.

B.V. Venkatakrishna Raju

B.V. Ramakrishna Raju

FINANCIAL BRANCH
4480
4 APR. 1932
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

FINANCIAL BRANCH
1 APR 1932

To

The Financial Secretary

to the Government of His Highness

The Maharaja of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Respected Sir,

Subject:- Re. Bagalur Pollegar Pension.

I beg to submit that a pension of Rs.15-14-2 is being disbursed to me as sole Barawardar through my - Agent. Out of this sum, Rs.7-8-0 goes to my share and the other half to my mother Nanjamuddammanni and my younger brother, B. J. Ramakrishnaraju. This is being continued till today.

While this is so, my mother Nanjamuddammanni, prompted by the ill-advice of some, petitioned to the - Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, on 12-2-32 praying that her portion of the pension may be registered in her name as a barawardar and her portion of this - - Pollegar Pension may be disbursed to her direct, on the - ground that I am not paying her pension. The said - Treasury Officer summoned me to appear before him on the 17th March, 1932 which I duly obeyed. The Treasury Officer did not at all give me a patient hearing. His attitude from the beginning is to favour the case of my - mother without ascertaining the actual facts of the case.

False

I beg permission to submit that the present Treasury Officer has no patience and behaves discourteously towards members of the Pollegar family who are all very respectable and loyal subjects of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore. His decision in such cases are hastly and

Absolutely unfounded

and injudicious. Justice could not at all be expected at his hands. In this present case he wants to alienate this small pension of Rs.15-14-2 and register my mother as another Barawardar. As per Madras Revenue Board -- Standing Orders alienation of <u>any portion</u> of a hereditary pension by assignment <u>is contrary</u> to the spirit of the Pensions Act, 1871, Madras.

I may also state that I know that reverence is due to mothers. But my mother is an exception. She has all the while been cruel, bad tempered and addicted to - questionable ways. A sample of her nature could easily be ascertained with reference to the proceedings of the Original Suit No. 41 of 1923-24 in the Court of the Sub-ordinate Judge at Bangalore. Besides. my mother has so ill-advised her own widowed two daughters who were under the parental care of their father-in-law R. P. Koneti Naik. who is infirm and old and who is also the recipient of - Royadurg Pollegar Pension, as to leave him uncared for and to join her. This she has done to alienate a portion of Royadurg Pollegar Pension, and has succeeded in getting it which is due to the hasty and injudicious decision. He has also appealed to you for justice.

I therefore pray that orders may kindly be issued not to alienate the pension and to grant her permission to proceed to the Civil Court to have this affair settled. Till this is done, the disbursement of this pension may be ordered to be suspended.

STATE HUZUR 7867 E.

Bangalore,
28th March, 32.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
B. J. Kannasawamy Raju

Sd. B. J. Kannasami Raju,
Pollegar of Baglur,
C/o B. R. Neelakantappa,
Pollegar Agent, Cottonpet,
Bangalore City.

dated 8/4/32

7C.7515

Forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller for favour of disposal.

B.O.

Asuba Rao
for Financial Secretary
4-4-1932

S & A. 51-32-1

# GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

FINANCIAL CIRCUIT 355 27 JULY 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

Comptroller's Office, Bangalore,

No. 255 S.H.Try.

*Dated the* 20th July *1932.*

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 25 JUL 1932

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No.7976 dated 25th April 1932, I have the honor to forward herewith for orders of Government, the Report of the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, on the petition of Nanjamuddammanni Pollegar of Bagalur Family praying for a separate registry in her name of her share of the pension and of her other two sons.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Venkatasubbaiyengar

Comptroller.

MSR

FROM,

M.S.Ramachendra Rao esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Officer in charge of the
State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore.

TO,

M.Venkatesa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Comptroller to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, B A N G A L O R E.

No. 255 / S.H.T.
Date. 16th July 1932.
Encl. 5 Petitions.

Sir,

I have the honor to refer to the Financial secretary's official memorandum No.Fl.7976 dated 27th April 1932 forwarding for the report a petition received from Mudda Nanjammanni of Begalur Halegar family praying for separate registry in her name of her share of pension and of her other two sons hitherto drawn in the name of her eldest son Kannasvami Raju, I have the honor to state that on receipt of a similar complaint from the lady I sent for the parties namely, mother and son and tried to bring about an amicable understanding between them, but without success. On the contrary in my very presence Kannasvamiraju insulted his mother by making all sorts of allegations against her. He also behaved in a manner by no means compatable with the natural feeling of affection and reverence which should prevail between a son and his mother. Not only was his behaviour towards his mother most unbecoming but he was even rude to me.

In the circumstances I am of opinion that as represented by the petitioner, there is no guarantee that he will disburse to his mother or his two brothers their shares of the family pension, I therefore recommend that the family pension of Rs.15-4-2 now registered in the name of Kannasvamiraju under G.O.No.Fl.2701/S. Rs.15-18 dated 9th November 1918 be split up into four shares

To,

The Khajana Bakshi,
The State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

Respected Sir,

I am the wife of late Mr.Seshadri Raju, Polle-
-gar of Bagalur Family, who was in receipt of a pension
of Rs.15-14-2. He died leaving behind him myself his -
widow and three sons viz., Kannaswamy raju, Venkatakrishnaraja
Ramakrishnaraja — . Under Government Order No 2301/SM
1545 — dated, 9.11.15 the family pension of Rs.15-14-2 was regis-
-tered in the name of my eldest son Kannasami Raju out of
which a sum of Rs. 3-15-6 has been allotted as my share
and Rs. 3.15.6 as the share of my last son, who is a
minor and as such is under my protection. This amount
was being paid regularly every month by my eldest son who
is living separately and when I sent my last son this month
to receive our share of the amount, Kannasami drove him
out by beating him well and the amount was not paid. A
police complaint has been lodged in Kengeri Gate Police
Station in this connection. In these circumstances, I
respectfully beg to request that orders may kindly be -
passed for the payment of our shares of the pension dire-
-ctly to me through the State Huzur Treasury, by register-
-ing them in our names.

Please put up with previous records
clear
12/2/32

I beg to remain,

S i r,

Yours most obedient Servant.

ಸಂಜೀವಮ್ಮ

Bangalore.

Dated, 12.2.1932.

To

The Khajana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

In person
Eswarappa Bring up with ref.
Most Respected Sir,
15/3/32

With due obedience to your notice No. 4745/S.H.T. dated 1st March 1932 for enquiry in regard to the petition of my mother Nanjamuddammanni of Bagalur Palyagar Family, I most respectfully beg to state that the allegations made by my mother in her petition are all false. In fact I am not paying myself any share of our family pension to my mother or to younger brother direct. But is being paid regularly by our agent since the year 1919 without any complaint.

In this connection, I beg to bring to your kind notice that the character of my mother being not good, myself and my second brother are living separate since a very long time without any connection whatever with her. My brother who is yet a minor is living - with my mother with two other widowed sisters. Here I do not like to mention of our family affairs. But I submit for your kind perusal and return the judgment copies of civil suits filed by my mother and my minor brother for equal partition of house property. The judgment passed by the learned 1st Munsiff Mr. Sundarraja Rao and subsequently on her appeal to Sub Court - by Mr. Krishnaswamy Subordinate Judge will clearly explain how much right my mother can claim the property. In addition to this a kind perusal of our family papers will give you a clear idea of my mother's character - brought to your kind notice on previous occasions.

Having brought up in a respectable family

family I maintain some regard in public and in order to keep up the prestige of the family, I earn my livelihood by owning a small printing - press in Sultanpet in addition to the small political pension I get from the benign Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Under the these circumstances, I most humbly beg that I being the eldest son and some - what educated in the family, your honour will kindly study the case, go through the judgment of two Courts if necessary and also acknowledgments obtained by my agents for the due payment of the pensions to my - brothers and mother without any complaint whatsoever since the year 1919 and thus grant me the honour of drawing my family pension myself as sole barawardar as usual.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever in duty bound pray.

I beg to remain,
Most Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. J. Kannaswamy Raju

Bangalore City,
15th March 1932.

Address:-

B. J. Kannaswami Raju,
Proprietor,
Sri Vishu Vardhana Press,
No. 5, Santhusapet,
Bangalore City.

To

The Treasury Officer,

State Huzur Treasury,

B a n g a l o r e.

Respected Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No. 4745/S.H.T dated 1st March, 1932, summoning me to appear before you on 17-3-32 at 3. 15 P.M., re: The prayer of my mother -- Nanjamuddammanni dated 12-3-32 that her share of pension and that of her last son may be directly paid to her by - registering them in their names, I obeyed the above order accordingly. I was asked by you to have the affair compromised and approach you with an agreed request. This, I beg to submit, is impossible. My mother is not of a - good natured one. Her character is bad. A kind reference to the proceedings of the O. S. No. 41 of 1923-24 in the Court of the Subordinate Judge at Bangalore, will - reveal to you what sort of a woman my mother is. I am not making these allegations without knowing the fact that a mother ought to be revered. But she is not worthy of such reverence.

STATE HUZOR T... 2477 1-4-32 Ep. BANGALORE.

No. 559

I, therefore, pray that her prayer may be dismissed. She is thoroughly incapable of proving her allegations against me. I hope you will not decide the affair in her favour because she is a woman. By the way you behaved towards me, I am afraid I cannot expect justice at your hands. I have therefore approached Government to grant me permission to institute a Civil Suit to have - Justice meted out to me. I therefore pray that the disbursement of this pension may be suspended till the decision of the Court is obtained.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore,
28th March, 32. P.T.O.

B. J. Ramaswamy Raju

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* . 193 .

Date of issue ~~Madras~~ 22/8/32

Signed by ~~Mr Aston~~

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

**Section** S & A.

**File No.** 51-31.

**Serial No.** 2

No. Pl 1093

To The Comptroller,

Sir,

Subject: Petition of Ranjanna Adamamanni, Pollegar of Bagalur Family, praying for a separate registry in her name of her share of the pension & ~~of her other~~ his sons

With reference to your letter No: 255/S.H. Tary dt the 20th July 1932 on the above subject, I am directed to enquire what ~~is and~~ the ages of Venkatakrishnaraj & Ramakrishnaraj are & in case they have attained majority, why separate registry of the pension in their names is not proposed.

The ~~the~~ enclosures received

with your letter under reference.
are returned herewith for reference
& re transmission.

I have &c:

1848
For H: Secy: to Govt:

Ltr Rec'd
18.9.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. FC 1093 Enq
S/A. 51.31.2

Dated, Bangalore, 19th August 1932.

8298



From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial Department.

To

The Comptroller to Government,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Petition of Nanjamuddammani, Pollegar of Bagalur Family, praying for a separate registry in her name of her share of the pension and of her other two sons.

***

With reference to your letter No.255/S.H.Try., dated the 20th July 1932 on the above subject, I am directed to enquire what the ages of Venkatakrishnaraj and Ramakrishnaraj are and in case they have attained majority, why separate registry of the pension in their names is not proposed.

The enclosures received with your letter under reference are returned herewith for reference and re-transmission.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Aswathappa
for Secretary to Govt.,
Financial Department.

S.H. 51-32-3

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. 1751 / S.H. Imp. Enclos 8 — *Bangalore, dated* 21st September *1932.*

FINANCE
27 SEP. 1932
No.

From

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR, Esq., M.A.,
*Comptroller to the Government of*
*His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
*Bangalore.*

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Financial Department, Bangalore.

FINANCIAL BRANCH
1000
24 SEP. 1932
L. & REV. SECTT.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. F1.1093/S & A 51-33-2 dated 19th/22nd August 1932 returning the file of papers relating to the petition of ~~Nanja Muddammanni~~ Pollegar of Bagalur Family and -- enquiring what the ages of her two sons Venkatakrishnaraju and -- Ramakrishna Raju are and in case they have attained majority, why separate registry of the pension in their names is not proposed, I have the honor to forward herewith a petition received from Srimathi Nanja Muddammanni intimating that the ages of the two sons are 22 and 17 respectively. One of the two sons has attained majority and the other is still a minor but will attain majority in one year.

The mother and these two sons, however, are living together and the latter have no objection to have their share of the pensions registered in the name of the former. It is also represented that much inconvenience will be felt if the share of the pension of each member is registered separately. These Pollegar pensions are -- generally treated as indivisible units, and the usual practice is to register pension in the name of the senior member of the family who will be held responsible for payment of the due share of the -- pension to the other members. In view of this practice and also as desired by the pollegars themselves, I recommend that the family pension of Rs 15-14-2 may as previously proposed be ordered to be registered as follows :-

Rs 11-14-2 in the name of Srimathi Nanjamuddammanni, and

4- 0-0 in the name of Kannasawmi Raju.

I have

Eswarappa
Clerk
30/8/32

To

The Khazana Bakshi
The State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No dated 27.8.32 I beg to state that the ages of my 2nd & 3rd sons Venkatakrishnarajn & Ramakrishnarajn are 22 & ~~19~~ 17 years respectively.

In regard to the separate registry of the pension in the names of my sons I beg to state that it will be very inconvenient for drawing the small amount of Rs 3/12 or 7/20 by each karavardar at the Division of the pension into bits ought not to be

as it is likely that they may be further divided in future.

Since the disposal of the pension is long pending for over 10 months and as it is the only source of my living I pray that early orders may be passed registering the pension in my name including the shares of my two sons Venkatakrishnaraja & Ramakrishnaraja, for which act of kindness I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Bangalore
Date 30.8.32

ವೆಂಕಟಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜ
Pollegar of Bagalur

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

Section S & R.

File No. 57. 32

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* 193 .

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

Date of issue 20/6/1932

Signed by M. A. Rao

*Read*— Petition of 20th April 1932 from Ranjanadoammani of Bagalur Polegar family praying for separate registry in her name of her share of the pension and of her two younger sons, share in the name of Kamakshamma Raja her eldest son.

Order thereon. No 2) Correspondence ending with letter no 255 S. H. Try of 20th June 32 from the Comptroller forwarding the report of the S. H. T Officer, Bangalore on the said petition of Ranjanadoammani and recommending that the family stipend of Rs 15-14-2 now registered in the name of Kamakshamma Raja eldest

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

for J Nanjammaddammanni may be

issued and registered as follows.

1. Kanna Swami Raju Rs 4-0-0 } To be registered separately in his name
2. B J. ~~Krishna~~ Venkata Krishnaraju Rs 4-0-0 } To be registered in the
3. B.J Rama Krishna Raju " 4-0-0 } name of Nanjammaddammanni
4 Nanjammaddammanni " 3-14-2 }

Cover No H 2140 of 20-10

32

Sanctioned.

17/10.

Joint Secretary

To the Comptroller for his Communication to the parties Concerned

*List of Enclosure*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 73—GBPM—20,000—10-8-31.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Petition dated the 20th April 1932 from Nanjamuddammanni of Bagalur Pollegar family praying for separate registry in her name of her share of the pension and of her two younger sons, drawn in the name of Kannaswamiraju her eldest son.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 255 S.H. Try. dated th 20th July 1932 from the Comptroller to Government forwarding the report of the State Huzur Treasury Officer, Bangalore, on the said petition of Nanjamuddamanni and recommending that the family stipend of Rs. 15-14-2 now registered in the name of Kannaswamiraju, eldest son of Nanjamuddammanni may be divided and registered as follows:-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| (1) Kannaswamiraju | Rs. 4/- | To be registered in the name of Nanjamuddamanni. |
| (2) B.J. Venkatakrishnaraju | Rs. 4/- | |
| (3) B.J. Ramakrishnaraju | Rs. 4/- | |
| (4) Nanjamuddammanni | Rs. 3-14-2 | |

Order No. Fc 2148 STA. 51.32-4

Dated, Bangalore, 18th October 1932.

Sanctioned.

Asuva Rao
for Financial Secretary to Government,

To

The Comptroller to Government
for kind communication to the parties concerned.